

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,617		11/25/2003	Christopher Stewart	PD-203076	1077
20991	7590	05/26/2005		EXAMINER	
THE DI	RECTV (	GROUP INC	PAIK, STEVE S		
PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION RE/R11/A109				L DE LINE	DADED AND OPEN
P O BOX 956				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956				2876	
				DATE MAILED: 05/26/200.	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>_</u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astina Commence	10/723,617	STEWART, CHRISTOPHER				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steven S. Paik	2876				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 March 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10)⊠	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	• •				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com- The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
			. •				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal B  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2876

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed March 15, 2005. The Amendment includes amended claims 1, 2, 4, and 6.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on March 15, 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The features, "a first lateral wall and a second lateral wall", added by the Amendment filed March 15, 2005 are not described by the Specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi (US 5,091,618).

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 1, Takahashi discloses a smart card reader (IC card reader/writer 102) for usage with a smart card (IC card 7) to reduce card abrasion (Figs. 1-7 disclose a reduced contact between a card substrate of the IC card and the card reader) comprising:

an open receptacle (base plate 6), where the receptacle includes at least one open side (Figs. 2, 3 and 5-7 disclose the top side of the receptacle is open), a bottom surface (base plate 6), a first lateral wall (6a in Fig. 1), a second lateral wall (6b) and a longitudinal wall (a portion where the arm is mounted on; see col. 3, ll. 64+);

at least one placement tab (3b and the first and second lateral walls include guide members to support and guide the IC card travel path by design), where the at least one placement tab extends from the first lateral wall (6a), the second lateral wall (6b) and longitudinal wall (3b) into the receptacle (6) and secures the placement of the smart card (7) in the receptacle (col. 4, 1l. 13-42); and

a plurality of contacts (contact springs 1) residing within the receptacle where the plurality of contacts connects to a smart chip (IC terminal portion 8) within the smart card (7 in Fig. 1) upon placement of the smart card in the receptacle (col. 4, 1l. 13-42).

Re claim 2, Takahashi discloses the smart card reader as recited in rejected claim 1 stated above, wherein the plurality of contacts (contact springs 1) resiliently contact (col. 4, Il. 39-43) the smart chip (8) within the smart card (7).

Re claim 3, Takahashi discloses the smart card reader as recited in rejected claim 1 stated above, further comprising:

a contact plate (contact spring frame 2) within the receptacle of the card reader (102) that includes the plurality of contacts (contact springs 1); and

Art Unit: 2876

a plurality of electrical leads from the contact plate (col. 3, ll. 58+ and col. 4, ll. 51+).

Re claim 4, Takahashi discloses an IC card reader (102) for usage with a smart card (7) to reduce card abrasion (Figs. 1-7 disclose a reduced contact between a card substrate of the IC card and the card reader) comprising:

an open receptacle (base plate 6), where the receptacle includes at least one open side (Fig. 1);

a receptacle lip (6a and 6b) extending over a lateral side of the receptacle and a portion of two adjoining longitudinal sides of the receptacle (3b and the first and second lateral walls include guide members to support and guide the IC card travel path by design) to cover a port ional edge of the receptacle (6) and securing the placement of the smart card in the receptacle (col. 4, ll. 13-42); and

a plurality of contacts (contact springs 1) residing within the receptacle (see Fig. 1) where the plurality of contacts connects to a smart chip (8) within the smart card (7) upon placement of the smart card in the receptacle (Fig. 1-3 and 5-7).

Re claim 5, Takahashi discloses the IC card reader as recited in rejected claim 4 stated above, wherein said receptacle lip resiliently maintains the smart card (7) in contact with the plurality of contacts (Fig. 2 and 3; col. 4, ll. 13-42).

Re claim 6, Takahashi discloses a method of having a card restraining tab smart card interface (IC card reader/writer (102)) to reduce card abrasion comprising:

providing an open receptacle (base plate 6) to minimize card area contact within a smart card reader (102);

Art Unit: 2876

enclosing the open receptacle on three sides (6a, 6b, and contact spring frame 2 that is retractably connected to an arm 5) of the receptacle with a first lateral wall (6a), a second lateral wall (6b) and a longitudinal wall (a side where the arm 5 is attached);

attaching placement tabs (3b and the first and second lateral walls include guide members to support and guide the IC card travel path by design) along the first lateral wall, second lateral wall and longitudinal wall;

inserting a smart card (IC card 7) into the receptacle and engaging said tab placement tabs (col. 4, ll. 13-42);

placing a contact plate (contact springs 1 within a contact spring frame 2) within the smart card reader;

aligning the contact plate with a smart chip (8) residing on a smart card; and resiliently connecting (col. 4, ll. 34-42) the contact plate to the smart chip (8).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. A newly found reference, Takahashi US 5,091,618 has been applied to the amended claims. In view of above discussion, claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2876

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (5:30am-2:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2876

Steven S. Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876 Page 7

ssp